



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,583	02/04/2004	Kenwood Hall	03AB072/ALBRP330US	3801
7590 Susan M. Donahue Rockwell Automation, 704-P, IP Department 1201 South 2nd Street Milwaukee, WI 53204			EXAMINER AHN, SANGWOO	
			ART UNIT 2166	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/26/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/771,583	HALL, KENWOOD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sangwoo Ahn	2166

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 October 2006.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20,22-25 and 27-29 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-20,22-25 and 27-29 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's communication filed on 10/13/2006.

Claims 1 – 20, 22 – 25 and 27 – 29 are pending in this Office Action.

Claims 1, 10, 17, 19, 23 and 29 have been amended.

Claims 21 and 26 have been canceled.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 7, 9 – 20, 22 – 25 and 27 – 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 5,999,933 issued to Abhay Mehta (hereinafter "Mehta") in view of U.S. Publication Number 2003/0172046 issued to Zachariah Scott (hereinafter "Scott").

Regarding claim 1, Mehta discloses,

A system that facilitates data exchange with industrial devices (Figure 1, column 4 lines 61 – 62, et seq.), comprising:

Art Unit: 2166

a mapping component that represent data stored within an industrial device as a database table (column 5 lines 48 – 50, et seq.);

an intelligence component that facilitates generating and mapping data to the at least one database table (column 5 lines 46 – 50, et seq.); and,

an interface component that provides access to the database table (column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.).

Mehta does not explicitly disclose “data exchange/access via a standard database connection”.

However, Scott discloses “data exchange/access via a standard database connection” (paragraph 5 lines 5 – 7, paragraph 22 lines 4 – 6, et seq.). At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art to combine the two references because Scott’s data exchange/access method via a standard database connection combined with Mehta’s overall system would have provided technologies that simplify the management of non-database systems (Scott: paragraph 7 lines 2 – 3, et seq.) and permit querying data stored in tables for relatively easy analysis of the data (Mehta: column 2 lines 51 – 53, et seq.).

Regarding claim 2, Scott discloses the standard database connection is a Java DataBase Connectivity (JDBC) connection (paragraph 22 lines 4 – 6, et seq.).

Regarding claim 3, Mehta discloses the database table is a relational database table (column 4 lines 21 – 28, et seq.).

Regarding claim 4, Mehta discloses the industrial device data is retrieved from a data structure, the elements of the data structure are mapped to respective record columns of the database table (column 5 lines 48 – 50, column 6 lines 37, column 7 lines 2 – 3, et seq.).

Regarding claim 5, Mehta discloses the database table is accessed via one or more remote systems that employ disparate operating systems (column 4 lines 44 – 49, et seq.).

Regarding claim 6, Mehta discloses the disparate operating systems include one or more of UNIX, HPUX, IBM, AIX, Linux and Microsoft (column 4 lines 44 – 49, et seq.).

Regarding claim 7, Mehta and Scott disclose the access includes read (Mehta: column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.) and write access (Scott: paragraph 27 lines 3 – 6, et seq.).

Regarding claim 9, Mehta discloses the interface component facilitates discovery of industrial device data and the database table (column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.).

Regarding claim 10, Mehta discloses,

An industrial device that enables access to data stored therein (column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.), comprising:

an interface that facilitates reading from one or more relational database tables stored within the industrial device (column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.);

a transformation component that maps one or more data structure associated with the industrial device to the one or more relational database tables (column 4 lines 26 – 27, column 5 lines 46 – 50, et seq.); and

an intelligence component that determines when, how and which data structures should be transformed to corresponding database tables column 3 lines 1 – 10, Figure 1: 146 and 168, et seq.).

Mehta does not explicitly disclose “industrial control device” and “data exchange/access via a standard database connection.

However, Scott discloses “industrial control device” and “data exchange/access via a standard database connection” (paragraph 15 lines 6 – 10, paragraph 5 lines 5 – 7, paragraph 22 lines 4 – 6, et seq.). At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art to combine the two references because Scott’s data exchange/access method via a standard database connection combined with Mehta’s overall system would have provided technologies that simplify the management of non-database systems (Scott: paragraph 7 lines 2 – 3, et seq.) and permit querying data stored in tables for relatively easy analysis of the data (Mehta: column 2 lines 51 – 53, et seq.).

Regarding claim 11, Mehta discloses the transformation component is executed within one of a module of the industrial control device, a host computer, and the interface (Figure 3, et seq.).

Regarding claim 12, Mehta discloses the transformation component is executed without knowledge of industrial device data layout (column 5 lines 48 – 50, et seq.).

Regarding claim 13, Scott discloses the access for at least one of transaction commitment, transaction rollback and transaction termination (paragraphs 27 – 28, et seq.).

Regarding claim 14, Scott discloses the standard database connection is employed to establish a connection with the interface by a remote device (paragraph 5 lines 5 – 7, paragraph 22 lines 4 – 6, et seq.).

Regarding claim 15, Scott discloses the standard database connection is an SQL-compliant connection (paragraphs 27 – 29, et seq.).

Regarding claim 16, Scott discloses the standard database connection is a Java DataBase Connectivity (JDBC) connection (paragraph 5 lines 5 – 7, paragraph 22 lines 4 – 6, et seq.).

Regarding claim 17, Scott discloses a JDBC Open or Select command(s) to read data and a JDBC Post command to write data (paragraphs 27 – 28, paragraph 27, and chart 1).

Regarding claim 18, Mehta and Scott disclose an intelligence component that facilitates mapping, reading (Mehta: column 5 lines 46 – 50, column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.) and writing (Scott: paragraph 27 lines 3 – 6, et seq.) the industrial device data.

Regarding claim 19, Mehta discloses, A method that facilitates access to industrial devices data, comprising: retrieving industrial device data (column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.); generating and mapping data to at least one database table (column 5 lines 46 – 50, et seq.); and

providing access to the data in the at least one database table (column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.).

Mehta does not explicitly disclose a standard database connection that is Java DataBase Connectivity (JDBC) connection.

However, Scott discloses a standard database connection that is Java DataBase Connectivity (JDBC) connection (paragraph 5 lines 5 – 7, paragraph 22 lines 4 – 6, et seq.). At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art to combine the two references because Scott's data exchange/access method via a standard database connection combined with Mehta's overall system would have provided technologies that simplify the management of non-database systems (Scott: paragraph 7 lines 2 – 3, et seq.) and permit querying data stored in tables for relatively easy analysis of the data (Mehta: column 2 lines 51 – 53, et seq.).

Regarding claim 20, Mehta discloses updating the at least one database table when industrial data changes (column 2 lines 54 – 67, et seq.).

Regarding claim 22, Mehta discloses the disparate operating systems include one or more of UNIX, HPUX, IBM, AIX, Linux and Microsoft (column 4 lines 44 – 49, et seq.).

Regarding claim 23, Mehta discloses,

A method for accessing industrial device data, comprising;
establishing a connection with an industrial device (Figure 1, et seq.);

discovering relational database tables stored within the industrial device (column 2 lines 44 – 54, et seq.);

utilizing an intelligence component to facilitate data exchange with the industrial device (column 2 lines 45 – 54, column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.); and

accessing the data within the relational database tables (column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.).

Mehta does not explicitly disclose “data exchange/access via a standard database connection”.

However, Scott discloses “data exchange/access via an SQL-compliant database connection” (paragraph 5 lines 5 – 7, paragraph 22 lines 4 – 6, et seq.). At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art to combine the two references because Scott’s data exchange/access method via an SQL-compliant database connection combined with Mehta’s overall system would have provided technologies that simplify the management of non-database systems (Scott: paragraph 7 lines 2 – 3, et seq.) and permit querying data stored in tables for relatively easy analysis of the data (Mehta: column 2 lines 51 – 53, et seq.).

Regarding claim 24, Scott discloses the SQL-compliant database connection is a Java Database Connectivity (JDBC) connection (paragraph 5 lines 5 – 7, paragraph 22 lines 4 – 6, et seq.).

Regarding claim 25, Scott discloses the access for at least one of transaction commitment, transaction rollback and transaction termination (paragraphs 27 – 28, et seq.).

Regarding claim 28, Mehta discloses concurrently accessing more than one of the relational databases (column 21 lines 5 – 11, et seq.).

Regarding claim 29, Mehta discloses,

A system that enables access to database tables associated with industrial devices, comprising:

means for opening a database connection with the industrial device (Figure 3, column 4 lines 61 – 63, et seq.);

means for mapping data from at least one data structure to at least one database table (column 5 lines 46 – 50, et seq.);

means for discovering the at least one database table (column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.); and

means accessing the discovered database tables (column 21 lines 4 – 7, et seq.).

Mehta does not explicitly disclose means for retrieving suitable protocols and configuration (Figure 1: 146 and 168, et seq.).

However, Scott discloses means for retrieving suitable protocols and configuration and accessing the discovered database tables (paragraph 22 lines 4 – 6, paragraph 27 lines 2 – 4, paragraph 31 lines 12 – 14, et seq.). At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data

processing art to combine the two references because Scott's means for retrieving suitable protocols and configuration combined with Mehta's overall system would have provided technologies that simplify the management of non-database systems (Scott: paragraph 7 lines 2 – 3, et seq.) and permit querying data stored in tables for relatively easy analysis of the data (Mehta: column 2 lines 51 – 53, et seq.).

Claims 8 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehta and Scott as applied to claims above, and further in view of U.S. Publication Number 2004/0143791 issued to Yuichi Ito et al. (hereinafter "Ito").

Regarding claim 8, Mehta and Scott disclose the system of claim 1.

Mehta and Scott do not explicitly disclose the aspect of transferring table data as a binary file.

However, Ito discloses transferring table data as a binary file in paragraph 6 lines 10 – 14. At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the data processing art to combine the references because Ito's binary file transfer method would have enabled Mehta and Scott's overall system for fast and efficient transfer of data, taking less time than the original text-based code (paragraph 7 lines 7 – 9, et seq.).

Regarding claim 27, Mehta and Scott disclose the method of claim 27.

Mehta and Scott do not explicitly disclose the aspect of transferring table data as a binary packets.

However, Ito discloses transferring table data as a binary file in paragraph 6 lines 10 – 14. At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to a person of

ordinary skill in the data processing art to combine the references because Ito's binary packet transfer method would have enabled Mehta and Scott's overall system for fast and efficient transfer of data, taking less time than the original text-based code (paragraph 7 lines 7 – 9, et seq.).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Publication Number 2002/0091702 issued to Ward Mullins discloses a system and method for dynamic object-driven database manipulation and mapping system which relates to correlating or translating one type of database to another type of database or to an object programming application.

U.S. Patent Number 6,088,624 issued to Mohammad S. Khan et al discloses an industrial controller with flexible data structure and a memory holding an I/O table used for storing inputs and outputs transmitted between the industrial controller and a controlled process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sangwoo Ahn whose telephone number is (571) 272-5626. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571)272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Patent Examiner Sangwoo Ahn
AU 2166

12/15/2006 SW


MOHAMMAD ALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER